



**Chapter III :  
Solving Systems of Linear  
Equations (Iterative Methods)**

---

### III.1. Introduction

We are interested in solving the linear system:

$$A\vec{X} = \vec{b}$$

$A$  is a matrix of order  $n$ . The methods we present below are generalizations to the  $n$ - dimensional case of the resolution methods of  $f(x) = 0$  studied in the one-dimensional case in Chapter 1. These methods involve using an initial vector  $\vec{X}^{(0)}$  and generating a sequence of vectors:  $\vec{X}^{(0)}, \vec{X}^{(1)}, \dots, \vec{X}^{(n)}$  that converge as quickly as possible to the solution vector.

**3.2 Principle** We want to solve the linear system:

$$A\vec{X} = \vec{b}$$

Writing  $A$  in the form  $A = M - N$  where  $A$  and  $M$  must be regular, the system can be

rewritten as:  $(M-N)\vec{X} = \vec{b}$  or  $M\vec{X} = N\vec{X} + \vec{b}$

Thus,  $\vec{X} = M^{-1}N\vec{X} + M^{-1}\vec{b}$

Starting from the initial vector  $\vec{X}^{(0)}$  we obtain the iterations  $\vec{X}^{(k)}$  as follows:

$$\vec{X} = M^{-1}N\vec{X} + M^{-1}\vec{b}, k=0,1,2,\dots$$

### 3.3 Decomposition of Matrix

We define the matrices  $D, L$ , and  $U$  as follows:

-  $D$  Diagonal matrix where  $d_{ii} = a_{ii}, \forall i$ .

-  $L$  : Lower triangular matrix where :  $\begin{cases} l_{ij} = -a_{ij} & \text{if } i > j \\ l_{ii} = 0 & \text{if } i \leq j \end{cases}$

-  $U$  : Upper triangular matrix where:  $\begin{cases} u_{ij} = -a_{ij} & \text{if } j > i \\ u_{ii} = 0 & \text{if } j \leq i \end{cases}$

---

From this, we obtain the relation:

$$A = D - L - U$$

### 3.4 Jacobi Method

Since matrix  $A$  is decomposed as:

$$A = M - N$$

Taking  $M = D$  and  $N = L + U$ , relation (1) becomes:

$$\vec{X}^{(k+1)} = D^{-1}(L + U)\vec{X}^{(k)} + D^{-1}\vec{b} \quad (2)$$

which can be expanded as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \vec{X}_1^{(k+1)} = (b_1 - a_{12}\vec{X}_2^{(k)} - a_{13}\vec{X}_3^{(k)} - \dots - a_{1n}\vec{X}_n^{(k)})/a_{11} \\ \vec{X}_2^{(k+1)} = (b_2 - a_{21}\vec{X}_1^{(k)} - a_{23}\vec{X}_3^{(k)} - \dots - a_{2n}\vec{X}_n^{(k)})/a_{22} \\ \vdots \\ \vec{X}_n^{(k+1)} = (b_n - a_{n1}\vec{X}_1^{(k)} - a_{n2}\vec{X}_2^{(k)} - \dots - a_{nn}\vec{X}_{n-1}^{(k)})/a_{nn} \end{cases}$$

### 3.5 Gauss-Seidel Method

Since matrix  $A$  is decomposed as:  $A = M - N$

Taking  $M = D - L$  and  $N = U$ , relation (1) becomes:

$$\vec{X}^{(k+1)} = (D - L)^{-1}U\vec{X}^{(k)} + (D - L)^{-1}\vec{b}$$

Since computing the inverse of  $(D - L)$  can be complex, we prefer to write the system as follows:

$$\vec{X}^{(k+1)} = D^{-1}L\vec{X}^{(k+1)} + D^{-1}U\vec{X}^{(k)} + D^{-1}\vec{b} \quad (3)$$

By expanding this vector recurrence, we obtain:

$$\begin{cases} \vec{X}_1^{(k+1)} = (b_1 - a_{12}\vec{X}_2^{(k)} - a_{13}\vec{X}_3^{(k)} - \dots - a_{1n}\vec{X}_n^{(k)})/a_{11} \\ \vec{X}_2^{(k+1)} = (b_2 - a_{21}\vec{X}_1^{(k+1)} - a_{23}\vec{X}_3^{(k)} - \dots - a_{2n}\vec{X}_n^{(k)})/a_{22} \\ \vdots \\ \vec{X}_n^{(k+1)} = (b_n - a_{n1}\vec{X}_1^{(k+1)} - a_{n2}\vec{X}_2^{(k+1)} - \dots - a_{nn}\vec{X}_{n-1}^{(k+1)})/a_{nn} \end{cases}$$

The Gauss-Seidel method is thus an improved variant of the Jacobi method. In fact, at iteration  $k + 1$ , when computing  $\vec{X}_2^{(k+1)}$ , we already have a better approximation of  $\vec{X}_1^{(k)}$  than  $\vec{X}_1^{(k+1)}$ , as we have just computed. Similarly, when computing  $\vec{X}_3^{(k+1)}$ , we can use the newly computed  $\vec{X}_1^{(k+1)}$  and  $\vec{X}_2^{(k+1)}$ . More generally, for computing  $\vec{X}_i^{(k+1)}$ , we can use  $\vec{X}_1^{(k+1)}, \vec{X}_2^{(k+1)}, \dots, \vec{X}_{i-1}^{(k+1)}$  from the previous iteration.

**stopping test:**

$$|\vec{X}_i^{(n)} - \vec{X}_i^{(n-1)}| \leq \varepsilon, \forall i = \overline{1, n}$$

**convergence condition**

It can be shown that if  $A$  is a strictly diagonally dominant matrix (a sufficient condition), the Jacobi and Gauss-Seidel methods are convergent

$$\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n |a_{ij}| \leq |a_{ii}|, \quad \forall i = \overline{1, n}$$

**Example 1 :** Solve the system

$$\begin{cases} 5x_1 + 2x_2 - x_3 = 6 \\ x_1 + 6x_2 - 3x_3 = 4 \\ 2x_1 + x_2 + 4x_3 = 7 \end{cases}$$

of equations using the Jacobi and Gausse Seidal methods from  $X^{(0)} = (0,0,0)^t$  (do only the first 3 iterations).

we have  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 2 & -1 \\ 1 & 6 & -3 \\ 2 & 1 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$ ,

It can be shown that if  $A$  is a strictly diagonally dominant matrix :  $\sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^n |a_{ij}| \leq |a_{ii}|$  ,  $\forall i=1,n$

( $|2| + |1| \leq |5|$ ,  $|1| + |-3| \leq |6|$ ,  $|2| + |1| \leq |4|$ ), The condition is satisfied, and therefore the matrix  $A$  is diagonally dominant.

### 1.method Jacobi

$$\begin{cases} 5x_1 + 2x_2 - x_3 = 6 \\ x_1 + 6x_2 - 3x_3 = 4 \\ 2x_1 + x_2 + 4x_3 = 7 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \vec{x}_1^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{5}(6 - 2\vec{x}_2^{(k)} + \vec{x}_3^{(k)}) \\ \vec{x}_2^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{6}(4 - \vec{x}_1^{(k)} + 3\vec{x}_3^{(k)}) \\ \vec{x}_3^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{4}(7 - 2\vec{x}_1^{(k)} - \vec{x}_2^{(k)}) \end{cases} \text{ Tapez une équation ici.}$$

When  $k = 0$  ,  $X^{(0)} = (0,0,0)^t$

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \vec{x}_1^{(1)} = \frac{1}{5}(6 - 2\vec{x}_2^{(0)} + \vec{x}_3^{(0)}) = \frac{6}{5} = 1.200 \\ \vec{x}_2^{(1)} = \frac{1}{6}(4 - \vec{x}_1^{(0)} + 3\vec{x}_3^{(0)}) = \frac{4}{6} = 0.667 \\ \vec{x}_3^{(1)} = \frac{1}{4}(7 - 2\vec{x}_1^{(0)} - \vec{x}_2^{(0)}) = \frac{7}{4} = 1.750 \end{cases}$$

When  $k = 1$ ,

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \vec{x}_1^{(2)} = \frac{1}{5}(6 - 2\vec{x}_2^{(1)} + \vec{x}_3^{(1)}) = \frac{1}{5}(6 - 2(0.667) + 1.750) = 1.283 \\ \vec{x}_2^{(2)} = \frac{1}{6}(4 - \vec{x}_1^{(1)} + 3\vec{x}_3^{(1)}) = \frac{1}{6}(4 - 1.200 + 3(1.750)) = 1.342 \\ \vec{x}_3^{(2)} = \frac{1}{4}(7 - 2\vec{x}_1^{(1)} - \vec{x}_1^{(1)}) = \frac{1}{4}(7 - 2(1.200) - 0.667) = 0.983 \end{cases}$$

When  $k = 2$ ,

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \vec{x}_1^{(3)} = \frac{1}{5}(6 - 2\vec{x}_2^{(2)} + \vec{x}_3^{(2)}) = \frac{1}{5}(6 - 2(1.342) + 0.983) = 0.860 \\ \vec{x}_2^{(3)} = \frac{1}{6}(4 - \vec{x}_1^{(2)} + 3\vec{x}_3^{(2)}) = \frac{1}{6}(4 - 1.283 + 3(0.983)) = 0.994 \\ \vec{x}_3^{(3)} = \frac{1}{4}(7 - 2\vec{x}_1^{(2)} - \vec{x}_1^{(2)}) = \frac{1}{4}(7 - 2(1.283) - 1.342) = 0.773 \end{cases}$$

$$\mathbf{X} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.860 \\ 0.994 \\ 0.773 \end{pmatrix}$$

## 2. Gausse Seidal method

$$\begin{cases} 5x_1 + 2x_2 - x_3 = 6 \\ x_1 + 6x_2 - 3x_3 = 4 \\ 2x_1 + x_2 + 4x_3 = 7 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \vec{x}_1^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{5}(6 - 2\vec{x}_2^{(k)} + \vec{x}_3^{(k)}) \\ \vec{x}_2^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{6}(4 - \vec{x}_1^{(k+1)} + 3\vec{x}_3^{(k)}) \\ \vec{x}_3^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{4}(7 - 2\vec{x}_1^{(k+1)} - \vec{x}_2^{(k+1)}) \end{cases} \quad \text{Tapez une équation ici.}$$

When  $k = 0$ ,  $X^{(0)} = (0, 0, 0)^t$

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \vec{x}_1^{(1)} = \frac{1}{5}(6 - 2\vec{x}_2^{(0)} + \vec{x}_3^{(0)}) = \frac{6}{5} = 1.200 \\ \vec{x}_2^{(1)} = \frac{1}{6}(4 - \vec{x}_1^{(1)} + 3\vec{x}_3^{(0)}) = \frac{1}{6}(4 - 1.200 + 3(0)) = 0.467 \\ \vec{x}_3^{(1)} = \frac{1}{4}(7 - 2\vec{x}_1^{(1)} - \vec{x}_2^{(1)}) = \frac{1}{4}(7 - 2(1.200) - 0.467) = 1.033 \end{cases}$$

$$\mathbf{X}^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.860 \\ 0.994 \\ 0.773 \end{pmatrix}$$

When  $k = 1$ ,

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \vec{x}_1^{(2)} = \frac{1}{5}(6 - 2\vec{x}_2^{(1)} + \vec{x}_3^{(1)}) = \frac{1}{5}(6 - 2(0.467) + 1.033) = 1.220 \\ \vec{x}_2^{(2)} = \frac{1}{6}(4 - \vec{x}_1^{(2)} + 3\vec{x}_3^{(1)}) = \frac{1}{6}(4 - 1.220 + 3(1.033)) = 0.980, \\ \vec{x}_3^{(1)} = \frac{1}{4}(7 - 2\vec{x}_1^{(2)} - \vec{x}_2^{(2)}) = \frac{1}{4}(7 - 2(1.220) - 0.980) = 0.895 \end{cases}$$

$$\mathbf{X}^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1.220 \\ 0.980 \\ 0.895 \end{pmatrix}$$

When  $k = 2$ ,

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \vec{x}_1^{(3)} = \frac{1}{5}(6 - 2\vec{x}_2^{(2)} + \vec{x}_3^{(2)}) = \frac{1}{5}(6 - 2(0.980) + 0.895) = 0.987 \\ \vec{x}_2^{(3)} = \frac{1}{6}(4 - \vec{x}_1^{(3)} + 3\vec{x}_3^{(2)}) = \frac{1}{6}(4 - 0.987 + 3(0.895)) = 0.950, \\ \vec{x}_3^{(3)} = \frac{1}{4}(7 - 2\vec{x}_1^{(3)} - \vec{x}_2^{(3)}) = \frac{1}{4}(7 - 2(0.987) - 0.950) = 1.019 \end{cases}$$

$$\mathbf{X}^{(3)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.987 \\ 0.950 \\ 1.019 \end{pmatrix}$$

**Example 2 :** Solve the system

$$\begin{cases} 6x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 12 \\ 2x_1 + 4x_2 = 0 \\ x_1 + 2x_2 + 6x_3 = 6 \end{cases}$$

of equations using the Jacobi and Gausse Seidal methods from  $X^{(0)} = (2,2,2)^t$  (do only the first 3 iterations).

we have  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 6 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 4 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 6 \end{pmatrix}$ , It can be shown that if A is a strictly diagonally dominant matrix

$$: \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^n |a_{ij}| \leq |a_{ii}|, \forall i=1, n$$

( $|2| + |1| \leq |5|$ ,  $|1| + |-3| \leq |6|$ ,  $|2| + |1| \leq |4|$ ), The condition is satisfied, and therefore the matrix  $A$  is diagonally dominant.

### 1.method Jacobi

$$A = D - E - F$$

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 6 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 6 \end{pmatrix}, \quad E = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad F = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 6 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{cases} 6x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3 = 12 \\ 2x_1 + 4x_2 = 0 \\ x_1 + x_2 + 6x_3 = 6 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \vec{x}_1^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{6}(12 - \vec{x}_2^{(k)} - \vec{x}_3^{(k)}) \\ \vec{x}_2^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{4}(2\vec{x}_1^{(k)}) \\ \vec{x}_3^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{6}(6 - \vec{x}_1^{(k+1)} - 2\vec{x}_2^{(k+1)}) \end{cases}$$

When  $k = 0$ ,  $X^{(0)} = (2, 2, 2)^t$

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \vec{x}_1^{(1)} = \frac{1}{6}(12 - \vec{x}_2^{(0)} - \vec{x}_3^{(0)}) = \frac{4}{3} \\ \vec{x}_2^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2}(\vec{x}_1^{(0)}) = -1 \\ \vec{x}_3^{(1)} = \frac{1}{6}(6 - \vec{x}_1^{(0)} - 2\vec{x}_2^{(0)}) = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$X^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{4}{3} \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad X^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{13}{6} \\ -\frac{2}{3} \\ \frac{10}{9} \end{pmatrix}, \quad X^{(3)} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{52}{27} \\ -\frac{13}{12} \\ \frac{31}{36} \end{pmatrix}$$

**Example 3 :** Solve the system

$$\begin{cases} 2x_1 - x_2 = 1 \\ -x_2 + 2x_3 = 1 \\ -x_1 + 2x_2 - x_3 = 0 \end{cases}, \varepsilon = 10^{-1} = 0.1, X^{(0)} = (0,0,0)^t$$

we have  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ , It can be shown that if A is a strictly diagonally dominant matrix  $\sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^n |a_{ij}| \leq |a_{ii}|, \forall i=1, n$ .

( $| -1 | + | 0 | \leq | 2 |$ ,  $| 2 | + | 0 | \leq | -1 |$ ,  $| 2 | + | -1 | \leq | -1 |$ ), The condition is not satisfied, and therefore the matrix A is diagonally dominant.

### 1.method Jacobi

$$\begin{cases} 2x_1 - x_2 = 1 \\ -x_1 + 2x_2 - x_3 = 0 \\ -x_2 + 2x_3 = 1 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \vec{x}_1^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \vec{x}_2^{(k)}) \\ \vec{x}_2^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{2}(\vec{x}_1^{(k)} + \vec{x}_3^{(k)}) \\ \vec{x}_3^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{2}(1 + 2\vec{x}_2^{(k)}) \end{cases} \text{ Tapez une équation ici.}$$

When  $k = 0$ ,  $X^{(0)} = (0,0,0)^t$

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \vec{x}_1^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2}(1 + 0) = \frac{1}{2} = 0.500 \\ \vec{x}_2^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2}(0 + 0) = 0 \\ \vec{x}_3^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2}(1 + 0) = \frac{1}{2} = 0.500 \end{cases}$$

$$X^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad X^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad X^{(3)} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{3}{4} \\ \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{3}{4} \end{pmatrix}, \quad X^{(4)} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{3}{4} \\ \frac{3}{4} \\ \frac{3}{4} \end{pmatrix}, \quad X^{(5)} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{7}{8} \\ \frac{3}{4} \\ \frac{7}{8} \end{pmatrix}, \quad X^{(6)} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{7}{8} \\ \frac{7}{8} \\ \frac{7}{8} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$X^{(7)} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{15}{16} \\ \frac{7}{8} \\ \frac{15}{16} \end{pmatrix}, \quad X^{(8)} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{15}{16} \\ \frac{15}{16} \\ \frac{15}{16} \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$|\vec{x}_i^{(k+1)} - \vec{x}_i^{(k)}| \leq \varepsilon, \quad i = \overline{1, n}$$

$$|\vec{x}_i^{(8)} - \vec{x}_i^{(7)}| \leq \varepsilon, \quad i = \overline{1, n} : \begin{cases} |\vec{x}_1^{(8)} - \vec{x}_1^{(7)}| = \left| \frac{15}{16} - \frac{15}{16} \right| = 0 < \varepsilon \\ |\vec{x}_2^{(8)} - \vec{x}_2^{(7)}| = \left| \frac{15}{16} - \frac{7}{8} \right| = 0,05 < \varepsilon \\ |\vec{x}_3^{(8)} - \vec{x}_3^{(7)}| = \left| \frac{15}{16} - \frac{15}{16} \right| = 0 < \varepsilon \end{cases}$$

**Example 4** Solve the system with Gausse Seidal method

$$\begin{cases} 4x_1 + x_2 - x_3 = 3 \\ 2x_1 + 7x_2 + x_3 = 19 \\ x_1 - 3x_2 + 12x_3 = 31 \end{cases}, \quad \varepsilon = 10^{-1} = 0.1, \quad X^{(0)} = (0,0,0)^t$$

we have  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & -1 & -1 \\ 2 & 7 & 1 \\ 1 & -3 & 12 \end{pmatrix}$ , It can be shown that if A is a strictly diagonally dominant

matrix  $\sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^n |a_{ij}| \leq |a_{ii}|, \quad \forall i = \overline{1, n}$ .

( $|1| + |-1| \leq |4|$ ,  $|2| + |1| \leq |7|$ ,  $|1| + |-3| \leq |12|$ ), The condition is satisfied, and therefore the matrix A is diagonally dominant.

**Gausse Seidal method**

$$\begin{cases} 4x_1 + x_2 - x_3 = 3 \\ 2x_1 + 7x_2 + x_3 = 19 \\ x_1 - 3x_2 + 12x_3 = 31 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \vec{x}_1^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{4} (3 - \vec{x}_2^{(k)} + \vec{x}_3^{(k)}) \\ \vec{x}_2^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{7} (19 - 2\vec{x}_1^{(k+1)} - 3\vec{x}_3^{(k)}) \\ \vec{x}_3^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{12} (31 - \vec{x}_1^{(k+1)} + 3\vec{x}_2^{(k+1)}) \end{cases}$$

When  $k = 1$ ,  $X^{(0)} = (0,0,0)^t$

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \vec{x}_1^{(1)} = \frac{1}{4} (3 - \vec{x}_2^{(0)} + \vec{x}_3^{(0)}) = \frac{1}{4} (3 - 0 + 0) = \frac{3}{4} = 0.75 \\ \vec{x}_2^{(1)} = \frac{1}{7} (19 - 2\vec{x}_1^{(1)} - 3\vec{x}_3^{(0)}) = \frac{1}{7} (19 - 2(0.75) - 0) = 2.5 \\ \vec{x}_3^{(1)} = \frac{1}{12} (31 - \vec{x}_1^{(1)} + 3\vec{x}_2^{(1)}) = \frac{1}{12} (31 - 0.75 + 3(2.5)) = 3.15 \end{cases}, \quad X^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{3}{4} \\ 2.5 \\ 3.1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$X^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.75 \\ 2.5 \\ 3.15 \end{pmatrix}$$

When  $k = 1$ ,

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \vec{x}_1^{(2)} = \frac{1}{4}(3 - \vec{x}_2^{(1)} + \vec{x}_3^{(1)}) = \frac{1}{4}(3 - 2.5 + 3.15) = 0.91 \\ \vec{x}_2^{(2)} = \frac{1}{7}(19 - 2\vec{x}_1^{(2)} - 3\vec{x}_3^{(1)}) = \frac{1}{7}(19 - 2(0.91) - 3.15) = 2.00 \\ \vec{x}_3^{(2)} = \frac{1}{12}(31 - \vec{x}_1^{(2)} + 3\vec{x}_1^{(2)}) = \frac{1}{12}(31 - 0.91 + 3(2.00)) = 3.01 \end{cases}$$

$$X^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.91 \\ 2.00 \\ 3.01 \end{pmatrix}$$

When  $k = 2$ ,

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \vec{x}_1^{(3)} = \frac{1}{4}(3 - \vec{x}_2^{(2)} + \vec{x}_3^{(2)}) = \frac{1}{4}(3 - 2.00 + (3.01)) = 1.00 \\ \vec{x}_2^{(3)} = \frac{1}{7}(19 - 2\vec{x}_1^{(3)} - 3\vec{x}_3^{(2)}) = \frac{1}{7}(19 - 2(1.00) - 3.01) = 2.00 \\ \vec{x}_3^{(3)} = \frac{1}{12}(31 - \vec{x}_1^{(3)} + 3\vec{x}_1^{(3)}) = \frac{1}{12}(31 - 1.00 + 3(2.00)) = 3.00 \end{cases}$$

$$X^{(3)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1.00 \\ 2.00 \\ 3.00 \end{pmatrix}$$

When  $k = 3$ ,

$$\begin{cases} \vec{x}_1^{(4)} = \frac{1}{4}(3 - \vec{x}_2^{(3)} + \vec{x}_3^{(3)}) = \frac{1}{4}(3 - 2.00 + (3.01)) = 1.00 \\ \vec{x}_2^{(4)} = \frac{1}{7}(19 - 2\vec{x}_1^{(4)} - 3\vec{x}_3^{(3)}) = \frac{1}{7}(19 - 2(1.00) - 3.00) = 2.00 \\ \vec{x}_3^{(4)} = \frac{1}{12}(31 - \vec{x}_1^{(4)} + 3\vec{x}_1^{(4)}) = \frac{1}{12}(31 - 1.00 + 3(2.00)) = 3.00 \end{cases}$$

$$X^{(4)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1.00 \\ 2.00 \\ 3.00 \end{pmatrix}$$

so the approximate solution  $X^{(4)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1.00 \\ 2.00 \\ 3.00 \end{pmatrix}$