

Exercise #1 (0.5 * 5 marks):

Answer the following statements with **True** or **False** and justify the false one:

Affirmation	T/F	Why ?
We can translate " $x + 1 = 2$ " sentence to an assertion in propositional logic.	F 0.25	non assertive statement 0.25
For a given formula, several trees can be found.	F	Each formula has one only tree
The formula $p \vee q \wedge r$ is a positive clause	F	It's not clause
A formula is in Skolem form if it is in prenex form.	T 0.5	
The universal quantifier is one of the components of formula in Skolem form.	T 0.5	

Exercise #2 (5 marks):

1. Formalize the following sentences in propositional logic:

a. If I do not pass, then I did not study. **(0.5)**

p: pass, s: study

$$\neg p \Rightarrow \neg s$$

b. Amine is Algerian or if Amine isn't Algerian then Salim is Tunisian. **(0.5)**

a: Amin is Algerian, t: Salim is Tunisian

$$a \vee (\neg a \Rightarrow s)$$

c. Amine comes to the exam if and only if Brahim comes and Salim doesn't come. **(0.5)**

a: Amin, b: Brahim, s: Salim

$$a \Leftrightarrow (b \wedge \neg s)$$

2. Formalize the following sentences in predicate logic:

a. There exists a smart student. **(0.5)**

$$\exists x (\text{Student}(x) \wedge \text{Smart}(x))$$

b. Every student takes at least one course. **(0.5)**

$$\forall x. (\text{Student}(x) \Rightarrow \exists y (\text{Course}(y) \wedge \text{Takes}(x, y)))$$

c. There is a printer which is not used by any student. **(0.5)**

$$\exists x. (\text{Printer}(x) \wedge \forall y. (\text{Student}(y) \Rightarrow \neg \text{Uses}(y, x)))$$

3. Calculate the negation of the following formulas 1.c and 2.c.

$$1.c: \neg (a \Leftrightarrow b \wedge \neg s) \equiv \neg ((a \Rightarrow b \wedge \neg s) \wedge (b \wedge \neg s \Rightarrow a)) \quad (1pt)$$

$$2.c: \neg (\exists x. (\text{Printer}(x) \wedge \forall y. (\text{Student}(y) \Rightarrow \neg \text{Uses}(y, x))))$$

$$\equiv \forall x (\neg \text{Printer}(x) \vee \exists y (\text{Student}(y) \wedge \text{Uses}(y, x))) \quad (1pt)$$

Exercise #3 (9 marks):

Let the following formulas:

$$F1: p \Rightarrow q \wedge r \quad F2: (p \Rightarrow q) \Rightarrow r \quad F3: p \wedge q \Rightarrow r \quad F4: (p \wedge q) \Rightarrow (q \Rightarrow r)$$

$$F5: p \Rightarrow q \Rightarrow r$$

1. Use the truth table method to verify whether F1, F2 and F3 are valid, satisfiable or unsatisfiable.

p	q	r	F1 (0.5)	F2 (0.5)	F3 (0.5)	F1 $\Rightarrow$ F2
0	0	0	1	0	1	0
0	0	1	1	1	1	1
0	1	0	1	0	1	0
0	1	1	1	1	1	1
1	0	0	0	1	1	1
1	0	1	0	1	1	1
1	1	0	0	0	0	1
1	1	1	1	1	1	1

F1 is satisfiable, it isn't valid, and it isn't unsatisfiable. (0.25)

F2 is satisfiable, it isn't valid, and it isn't unsatisfiable. (0.25)

F3 is satisfiable, it isn't valid, and it isn't unsatisfiable. (0.25)

2. Create the parsing tree of $F1 \wedge F4$ and show its set of sub-formulas.

Tree: (0.5)

Sub-formulas: $\{p, q, r, q \wedge r, p \Rightarrow q \wedge r, p \wedge q, q \Rightarrow r, (p \wedge q) \Rightarrow (q \Rightarrow r), F1 \wedge F4\}$ (0.5)

3. Verify whether the following logical consequence is correct $F1 \models F2$.

Based on the truth table (column 7), $F1 \Rightarrow F2$ isn't tautology then the consequence $F1 \models F2$ is incorrect. (0.75)

4. Calculate $(F2)[p/r \Rightarrow q]$ (0.5)

$$(F2)[p/r \Rightarrow q] = ((p \Rightarrow q) \Rightarrow r)[p/r \Rightarrow q] = ((r \Rightarrow q) \Rightarrow r)$$

5. Check that $F3 \Rightarrow F4$ is tautology without truth table. (1.5)

$$(p \wedge q \Rightarrow r) \Rightarrow (p \wedge q) \Rightarrow (q \Rightarrow r)$$

$$(\neg p \vee \neg q \vee r) \Rightarrow (p \wedge q) \Rightarrow (q \Rightarrow r)$$

$$(\neg p \vee \neg q \vee r) \Rightarrow (\neg p \vee \neg q) \vee (q \Rightarrow r)$$

$$(\neg p \vee \neg q \vee r) \Rightarrow (\neg p \vee \neg q) \vee (\neg q \vee r)$$

$$(\neg p \vee \neg q \vee r) \Rightarrow (\neg p \vee \neg q \vee \neg q \vee r)$$

$$(\neg p \vee \neg q \vee r) \Rightarrow (\neg p \vee \neg q \vee \neg q \vee r)$$

$$\text{We have } p \Rightarrow p \text{ is True (or } \neg p \vee p = 1)$$

Therefore $F3 \Rightarrow F4$ is tautology.

6. Convert the formula $(\neg p \Rightarrow q) \Rightarrow (q \Rightarrow \neg r)$ into (CNF) using equivalent transformation. (1.5)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (p \vee q) \Rightarrow (\neg q \vee \neg r) \\
 & \neg (p \vee q) \vee (\neg q \vee \neg r) \\
 & (\neg p \wedge \neg q) \vee (\neg q \vee \neg r) \\
 & (\neg p \vee \neg q \vee \neg r) \wedge (\neg q \vee \neg q \vee \neg r) \\
 & (\neg p \vee \neg q \vee \neg r) \wedge (\neg q \vee \neg r)
 \end{aligned}$$

7. Prove that S is unsatisfiable by using refutation resolution:

$$S = \{r, \neg q \Rightarrow \neg r, s \vee \neg r, p \vee \neg q \vee \neg s, \neg p \vee \neg q \vee \neg s\}. \quad (1,5)$$

1. r,
2. $\neg q \Rightarrow \neg r = q \vee \neg r$
3. $s \vee \neg r$
4. $p \vee \neg q \vee \neg s$
5. $\neg p \vee \neg q \vee \neg s$
6. q Res(1,2)
7. s Res(1,3)
8. $p \vee \neg s$ Res(4,6)
9. p Res(7,8)
10. $\neg p \vee \neg s$ Res(5,6)
11. $\neg p$ Res(7,10)
12. $\square$ Res(9,11)

Exercise #4 (3.5 marks):

Let the following formula: F1: $\forall x \exists y P(x, f(y)) \wedge \forall y (\neg Q(x, y) \Rightarrow R(x))$

1. Create the parsing tree of F1 and show its set of sub-formulas.

Tree: (0.5)

Sub-formulas: $\{ P(x, f(y)), \exists y P(x, f(y)), \forall x \exists y P(x, f(y)), Q(x, y), (\neg Q(x, y), R(x), \neg Q(x, y) \Rightarrow R(x), \forall y (\neg Q(x, y) \Rightarrow R(x)), F1 \}$ (0.5)

2. Present different components of F1. (0.5)

Quantifiers: $\forall, \exists$

Predicates: P, Q, R

Function: f

Connectors: $\wedge, \neg, \Rightarrow$

3. Find free variables in F1. (0.5)

The occurrences of x in Q(x,y) and R(x) remain **free**.

4. Is F1 is closed? And why? (0.5)

No, there exist a free variable.

5. Compute $\neg F1$

$$\neg (\forall x \exists y P(x, f(y)) \wedge \exists y (\neg Q(x, y) \Rightarrow R(x)))$$

$$\equiv \neg (\forall x \exists y P(x, f(y)) \wedge \exists y (Q(x, y) \vee R(x))) \quad (0.5)$$

$$\exists x \forall y \neg P(x, f(y)) \vee \exists y (\neg Q(x, y) \wedge \neg R(x)) \quad (0.5)$$